



Questão 1 - Língua Inglesa

Argentina defaults – Eighth time unlucky

Cristina Fernández argues that her country's latest default is different. She is missing the point.

Aug 2nd 2014

ARGENTINA'S first bond, issued in 1824, was supposed to have had a lifespan of 46 years. Less than four years later, the government defaulted. Resolving the ensuing stand-off with creditors took 29 years. Since then seven more defaults have followed, the most recent this week, when Argentina failed to make a payment on bonds issued as partial compensation to victims of the previous default, in 2001.

Most investors think they can see a pattern in all this, but Argentina's president, Cristina Fernández de Kirchner, insists the latest default is not like the others. Her government, she points out, had transferred the full \$539m it owed to the banks that administer the bonds. It is America's courts (the bonds were issued under American law) that blocked the payment, at the behest of the tiny minority of owners of bonds from 2001 who did not accept the restructuring Argentina offered them in 2005 and again in 2010. These "hold-outs", balking at the 65% haircut the restructuring entailed, not only persuaded a judge that they should be paid in full but also got him to freeze payments on the restructured bonds until Argentina coughs up.

Argentina claims that paying the hold-outs was impossible. It is not just that they are "vultures" as Argentine officials often put it, who bought the bonds for cents on the dollar after the previous default and are now holding those who accepted the restructuring (accounting for 93% of the debt) to ransom. The main problem is that a clause in the restructured bonds prohibits Argentina from offering the hold-outs better terms without paying everyone else the same. Since it cannot afford to do that, it says it had no choice but to default.

Yet it is not certain that the clause requiring equal treatment of all bondholders would have applied, given that Argentina would not have been paying the hold-outs voluntarily, but on the courts' orders. Moreover, some owners of the restructured bonds had agreed to waive their rights; had Argentina made a concerted effort to persuade the remainder to do the same, it might have succeeded. Lawyers and bankers have suggested various ways around the clause in question, which expires at the end of the year. But Argentina's government was slow to consider these options or negotiate with the hold-outs, hiding instead behind indignant nationalism.

Ms Fernández is right that the consequences of America's court rulings have been perverse, unleashing a big financial dispute in an attempt to solve a relatively small one. But hers is not the first government to be hit with an awkward verdict. Instead of railing against it, she should have tried to minimise the harm it did. Defaulting has helped no one: none of the bondholders will now be paid, Argentina looks like a pariah again, and its economy will remain starved of loans and investment.

Happily, much of the damage can still be undone. It is not too late to strike a deal with the hold-outs or back an ostensibly private effort to buy out their claims. A quick fix would make it easier for Argentina to borrow again internationally. That, in turn, would speed development of big oil and gas deposits, the income from which could help ease its money troubles.

More important, it would help to change perceptions of Argentina as a financial rogue state. Over the past year or so Ms Fernández seems to have been trying to rehabilitate Argentina's image and resuscitate its faltering economy. She settled financial disputes with government creditors and with Repsol, a Spanish oil firm whose Argentine assets she had expropriated in 2012. This week's events have overshadowed all that. For its own sake, and everyone else's, Argentina should hold its nose and do a deal with the hold-outs.

(<http://www.economist.com/news/leaders/21610263>. Adapted)

The title and the lead-in (in journalist jargon, the line which introduces the main text) to the article imply that its author

A opção correta é letra: d

Resolução:

O título e o subtítulo do artigo indicam que o autor:

D) discorda da visão expressa pela presidente da Argentina.

Percebe-se claramente em “She is missing the point.” (Ela está equivocada.)

Resposta: D

Questão 2 - Língua Inglesa

Argentina defaults – Eighth time unlucky

Cristina Fernández argues that her country's latest default is different. She is missing the point.

Aug 2nd 2014

ARGENTINA'S first bond, issued in 1824, was supposed to have had a lifespan of 46 years. Less than four years later, the government defaulted. Resolving the ensuing stand-off with creditors took 29 years. Since then seven more defaults have followed, the most recent this week, when Argentina failed to make a payment on bonds issued as partial compensation to victims of the previous default, in 2001.

Most investors think they can see a pattern in all this, but Argentina's president, Cristina Fernández de Kirchner, insists the latest default is not like the others. Her government, she points out, had transferred the full \$539m it owed to the banks that administer the bonds. It is America's courts (the bonds were issued under American law) that blocked the payment, at the behest of the tiny minority of owners of bonds from 2001 who did not accept the restructuring Argentina offered them in 2005 and again in 2010. These "hold-outs", balking at the 65% haircut the restructuring entailed, not only persuaded a judge that they should be paid in full but also got him to freeze payments on the restructured bonds until Argentina coughs up.

Argentina claims that paying the hold-outs was impossible. It is not just that they are "vultures" as Argentine officials often put it, who bought the bonds for cents on the dollar after the previous default and are now holding those who accepted the restructuring (accounting for 93% of the debt) to ransom. The main problem is that a clause in the restructured bonds prohibits Argentina from offering the hold-outs better terms without paying everyone else the same. Since it cannot afford to do that, it says it had no choice but to default.

Yet it is not certain that the clause requiring equal treatment of all bondholders would have applied, given that Argentina would not have been paying the hold-outs voluntarily, but on the courts' orders. Moreover, some owners of the restructured bonds had agreed to waive their rights; had Argentina made a concerted effort to persuade the remainder to do the same, it might have succeeded. Lawyers and bankers have suggested various ways around the clause in question, which expires at the end of the year. But Argentina's government was slow to consider these options or negotiate with the hold-outs, hiding instead behind indignant nationalism.

Ms Fernández is right that the consequences of America's court rulings have been perverse, unleashing a big financial dispute in an attempt to solve a relatively small one. But hers is not the first government to be hit with an awkward verdict. Instead of railing against it, she should have tried to minimise the harm it did. Defaulting has helped no one: none of the bondholders will now be paid, Argentina looks like a pariah again, and its economy will remain starved of loans and investment.

Happily, much of the damage can still be undone. It is not too late to strike a deal with the hold-outs or back an ostensibly private effort to buy out their claims. A quick fix would make it easier for Argentina to borrow again internationally. That, in turn, would speed development of big oil and gas deposits, the income from which could help ease its money troubles.

More important, it would help to change perceptions of Argentina as a financial rogue state. Over the past year or so Ms Fernández seems to have been trying to rehabilitate Argentina's image and resuscitate its faltering economy. She settled financial disputes with government creditors and with Repsol, a Spanish oil firm whose Argentine assets she had expropriated in 2012. This week's events have overshadowed all that. For its own sake, and everyone else's, Argentina should hold its nose and do a deal with the hold-outs.

(<http://www.economist.com/news/leaders/21610263>. Adapted)

According to the first paragraph,

A opção correta é letra: e

Resolução:

De acordo com o primeiro parágrafo:

E) A história de muitas moratórias da Argentina tem mais de cem anos.

O primeiro parágrafo revela que várias moratórias se seguiram desde a primeira em 1828.

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

A

A

A

A

A

Questão 3 - Língua Inglesa

Argentina defaults – Eighth time unlucky

Cristina Fernández argues that her country's latest default is different. She is missing the point.

Aug 2nd 2014

ARGENTINA'S first bond, issued in 1824, was supposed to have had a lifespan of 46 years. Less than four years later, the government defaulted. Resolving the ensuing stand-off with creditors took 29 years. Since then seven more defaults have followed, the most recent this week, when Argentina failed to make a payment on bonds issued as partial compensation to victims of the previous default, in 2001.

Most investors think they can see a pattern in all this, but Argentina's president, Cristina Fernández de Kirchner, insists the latest default is not like the others. Her government, she points out, had transferred the full \$539m it owed to the banks that administer the bonds. It is America's courts (the bonds were issued under American law) that blocked the payment, at the behest of the tiny minority of owners of bonds from 2001 who did not accept the restructuring Argentina offered them in 2005 and again in 2010. These "hold-outs", balking at the 65% haircut the restructuring entailed, not only persuaded a judge that they should be paid in full but also got him to freeze payments on the restructured bonds until Argentina coughs up.

Argentina claims that paying the hold-outs was impossible. It is not just that they are "vultures" as Argentine officials often put it, who bought the bonds for cents on the dollar after the previous default and are now holding those who accepted the restructuring (accounting for 93% of the debt) to ransom. The main problem is that a clause in the restructured bonds prohibits Argentina from offering the hold-outs better terms without paying everyone else the same. Since it cannot afford to do that, it says it had no choice but to default.

Yet it is not certain that the clause requiring equal treatment of all bondholders would have applied, given that Argentina would not have been paying the hold-outs voluntarily, but on the courts' orders. Moreover, some owners of the restructured bonds had agreed to waive their rights; had Argentina made a concerted effort to persuade the remainder to do the same, it might have succeeded. Lawyers and bankers have suggested various ways around the clause in question, which expires at the end of the year. But Argentina's government was slow to consider these options or negotiate with the hold-outs, hiding instead behind indignant nationalism.

Ms Fernández is right that the consequences of America's court rulings have been perverse, unleashing a big financial dispute in an attempt to solve a relatively small one. But hers is not the first government to be hit with an awkward verdict. Instead of railing against it, she should have tried to minimise the harm it did. Defaulting has helped no one: none of the bondholders will now be paid, Argentina looks like a pariah again, and its economy will remain starved of loans and investment.

Happily, much of the damage can still be undone. It is not too late to strike a deal with the hold-outs or back an ostensibly private effort to buy out their claims. A quick fix would make it easier for Argentina to borrow again internationally. That, in turn, would speed development of big oil and gas deposits, the income from which could help ease its money troubles.

More important, it would help to change perceptions of Argentina as a financial rogue state. Over the past year or so Ms Fernández seems to have been trying to rehabilitate Argentina's image and resuscitate its faltering economy. She settled financial disputes with government creditors and with Repsol, a Spanish oil firm whose Argentine assets she had expropriated in 2012. This week's events have overshadowed all that. For its own sake, and everyone else's, Argentina should hold its nose and do a deal with the hold-outs.

(<http://www.economist.com/news/leaders/21610263>. Adapted)

The explanation given by Argentina's president, in the second paragraph, implies that

A opção correta é letra: b

Resolução:

A explicação dada pela Presidente da Argentina, no segundo parágrafo, indica que:

B) A Argentina gostaria de pagar alguns de seus credores como tinha sido acordado, mas foi impedida por decisão judicial.

Lê-se no trecho "It is America's courts (the bonds were issued under American law) that blocked the payment."

Resposta: B



Questão 4 - Língua Inglesa

Argentina defaults – Eighth time unlucky

Cristina Fernández argues that her country's latest default is different. She is missing the point.

Aug 2nd 2014

ARGENTINA'S first bond, issued in 1824, was supposed to have had a lifespan of 46 years. Less than four years later, the government defaulted. Resolving the ensuing stand-off with creditors took 29 years. Since then seven more defaults have followed, the most recent this week, when Argentina failed to make a payment on bonds issued as partial compensation to victims of the previous default, in 2001.

Most investors think they can see a pattern in all this, but Argentina's president, Cristina Fernández de Kirchner, insists the latest default is not like the others. Her government, she points out, had transferred the full \$539m it owed to the banks that administer the bonds. It is America's courts (the bonds were issued under American law) that blocked the payment, at the behest of the tiny minority of owners of bonds from 2001 who did not accept the restructuring Argentina offered them in 2005 and again in 2010. These "hold-outs", balking at the 65% haircut the restructuring entailed, not only persuaded a judge that they should be paid in full but also got him to freeze payments on the restructured bonds until Argentina coughs up.

Argentina claims that paying the hold-outs was impossible. It is not just that they are "vultures" as Argentine officials often put it, who bought the bonds for cents on the dollar after the previous default and are now holding those who accepted the restructuring (accounting for 93% of the debt) to ransom. The main problem is that a clause in the restructured bonds prohibits Argentina from offering the hold-outs better terms without paying everyone else the same. Since it cannot afford to do that, it says it had no choice but to default.

Yet it is not certain that the clause requiring equal treatment of all bondholders would have applied, given that Argentina would not have been paying the hold-outs voluntarily, but on the courts' orders. Moreover, some owners of the restructured bonds had agreed to waive their rights; had Argentina made a concerted effort to persuade the remainder to do the same, it might have succeeded. Lawyers and bankers have suggested various ways around the clause in question, which expires at the end of the year. But Argentina's government was slow to consider these options or negotiate with the hold-outs, hiding instead behind indignant nationalism.

Ms Fernández is right that the consequences of America's court rulings have been perverse, unleashing a big financial dispute in an attempt to solve a relatively small one. But hers is not the first government to be hit with an awkward verdict. Instead of railing against it, she should have tried to minimise the harm it did. Defaulting has helped no one: none of the bondholders will now be paid, Argentina looks like a pariah again, and its economy will remain starved of loans and investment.

Happily, much of the damage can still be undone. It is not too late to strike a deal with the hold-outs or back an ostensibly private effort to buy out their claims. A quick fix would make it easier for Argentina to borrow again internationally. That, in turn, would speed development of big oil and gas deposits, the income from which could help ease its money troubles.

More important, it would help to change perceptions of Argentina as a financial rogue state. Over the past year or so Ms Fernández seems to have been trying to rehabilitate Argentina's image and resuscitate its faltering economy. She settled financial disputes with government creditors and with Repsol, a Spanish oil firm whose Argentine assets she had expropriated in 2012. This week's events have overshadowed all that. For its own sake, and everyone else's, Argentina should hold its nose and do a deal with the hold-outs.

(<http://www.economist.com/news/leaders/21610263>. Adapted)

Argentina's creditors, as the second paragraph shows,

A opção correta é letra: c

Resolução:

Os credores da Argentina, como o segundo parágrafo mostra,

C) acabaram se separando em dois grupos, por assim dizer.

A maioria dos credores da Argentina já tinha renegociado a dívida anteriormente e o governo já havia transferido os 539 milhões de dólares aos bancos que administravam os títulos.

Entretanto, uma pequena minoria de credores, que não aceitara a renegociação, os chamados “hold-outs”, exigiu em juízo o pagamento integral da dívida. Isso levou, por assim dizer, à formação de dois grupos de credores.

Resposta: C

Questão 5 - Língua Inglesa

Argentina defaults – Eighth time unlucky

Cristina Fernández argues that her country's latest default is different. She is missing the point.

Aug 2nd 2014

ARGENTINA'S first bond, issued in 1824, was supposed to have had a lifespan of 46 years. Less than four years later, the government defaulted. Resolving the ensuing stand-off with creditors took 29 years. Since then seven more defaults have followed, the most recent this week, when Argentina failed to make a payment on bonds issued as partial compensation to victims of the previous default, in 2001.

Most investors think they can see a pattern in all this, but Argentina's president, Cristina Fernández de Kirchner, insists the latest default is not like the others. Her government, she points out, had transferred the full \$539m it owed to the banks that administer the bonds. It is America's courts (the bonds were issued under American law) that blocked the payment, at the behest of the tiny minority of owners of bonds from 2001 who did not accept the restructuring Argentina offered them in 2005 and again in 2010. These "hold-outs", balking at the 65% haircut the restructuring entailed, not only persuaded a judge that they should be paid in full but also got him to freeze payments on the restructured bonds until Argentina coughs up.

Argentina claims that paying the hold-outs was impossible. It is not just that they are "vultures" as Argentine officials often put it, who bought the bonds for cents on the dollar after the previous default and are now holding those who accepted the restructuring (accounting for 93% of the debt) to ransom. The main problem is that a clause in the restructured bonds prohibits Argentina from offering the hold-outs better terms without paying everyone else the same. Since it cannot afford to do that, it says it had no choice but to default.

Yet it is not certain that the clause requiring equal treatment of all bondholders would have applied, given that Argentina would not have been paying the hold-outs voluntarily, but on the courts' orders. Moreover, some owners of the restructured bonds had agreed to waive their rights; had Argentina made a concerted effort to persuade the remainder to do the same, it might have succeeded. Lawyers and bankers have suggested various ways around the clause in question, which expires at the end of the year. But Argentina's government was slow to consider these options or negotiate with the hold-outs, hiding instead behind indignant nationalism.

Ms Fernández is right that the consequences of America's court rulings have been perverse, unleashing a big financial dispute in an attempt to solve a relatively small one. But hers is not the first government to be hit with an awkward verdict. Instead of railing against it, she should have tried to minimise the harm it did. Defaulting has helped no one: none of the bondholders will now be paid, Argentina looks like a pariah again, and its economy will remain starved of loans and investment.

Happily, much of the damage can still be undone. It is not too late to strike a deal with the hold-outs or back an ostensibly private effort to buy out their claims. A quick fix would make it easier for Argentina to borrow again internationally. That, in turn, would speed development of big oil and gas deposits, the income from which could help ease its money troubles.

More important, it would help to change perceptions of Argentina as a financial rogue state. Over the past year or so Ms Fernández seems to have been trying to rehabilitate Argentina's image and resuscitate its faltering economy. She settled financial disputes with government creditors and with Repsol, a Spanish oil firm whose Argentine assets she had expropriated in 2012. This week's events have overshadowed all that. For its own sake, and everyone else's, Argentina should hold its nose and do a deal with the hold-outs.

(<http://www.economist.com/news/leaders/21610263>. Adapted)

In the excerpt from the end of the second paragraph – *freeze payments on the restructured bonds until Argentina coughs up* – the meaning of the phrase *until Argentina coughs up* in the context it is used can be paraphrased as until Argentina ...

A opção correta é letra: a

Resolução:

O trecho em questão "... que ele (o juiz) congelasse os pagamentos da dívida reestruturada até que a Argentina **pague todos os seus credores.**"

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Questão 6 - Língua Inglesa

Argentina defaults – Eighth time unlucky

Cristina Fernández argues that her country's latest default is different. She is missing the point.

Aug 2nd 2014

ARGENTINA'S first bond, issued in 1824, was supposed to have had a lifespan of 46 years. Less than four years later, the government defaulted. Resolving the ensuing stand-off with creditors took 29 years. Since then seven more defaults have followed, the most recent this week, when Argentina failed to make a payment on bonds issued as partial compensation to victims of the previous default, in 2001.

Most investors think they can see a pattern in all this, but Argentina's president, Cristina Fernández de Kirchner, insists the latest default is not like the others. Her government, she points out, had transferred the full \$539m it owed to the banks that administer the bonds. It is America's courts (the bonds were issued under American law) that blocked the payment, at the behest of the tiny minority of owners of bonds from 2001 who did not accept the restructuring Argentina offered them in 2005 and again in 2010. These "hold-outs", balking at the 65% haircut the restructuring entailed, not only persuaded a judge that they should be paid in full but also got him to freeze payments on the restructured bonds until Argentina coughs up.

Argentina claims that paying the hold-outs was impossible. It is not just that they are "vultures" as Argentine officials often put it, who bought the bonds for cents on the dollar after the previous default and are now holding those who accepted the restructuring (accounting for 93% of the debt) to ransom. The main problem is that a clause in the restructured bonds prohibits Argentina from offering the hold-outs better terms without paying everyone else the same. Since it cannot afford to do that, it says it had no choice but to default.

Yet it is not certain that the clause requiring equal treatment of all bondholders would have applied, given that Argentina would not have been paying the hold-outs voluntarily, but on the courts' orders. Moreover, some owners of the restructured bonds had agreed to waive their rights; had Argentina made a concerted effort to persuade the remainder to do the same, it might have succeeded. Lawyers and bankers have suggested various ways around the clause in question, which expires at the end of the year. But Argentina's government was slow to consider these options or negotiate with the hold-outs, hiding instead behind indignant nationalism.

Ms Fernández is right that the consequences of America's court rulings have been perverse, unleashing a big financial dispute in an attempt to solve a relatively small one. But hers is not the first government to be hit with an awkward verdict. Instead of railing against it, she should have tried to minimise the harm it did. Defaulting has helped no one: none of the bondholders will now be paid, Argentina looks like a pariah again, and its economy will remain starved of loans and investment.

Happily, much of the damage can still be undone. It is not too late to strike a deal with the hold-outs or back an ostensibly private effort to buy out their claims. A quick fix would make it easier for Argentina to borrow again internationally. That, in turn, would speed development of big oil and gas deposits, the income from which could help ease its money troubles.

More important, it would help to change perceptions of Argentina as a financial rogue state. Over the past year or so Ms Fernández seems to have been trying to rehabilitate Argentina's image and resuscitate its faltering economy. She settled financial disputes with government creditors and with Repsol, a Spanish oil firm whose Argentine assets she had expropriated in 2012. This week's events have overshadowed all that. For its own sake, and everyone else's, Argentina should hold its nose and do a deal with the hold-outs.

(<http://www.economist.com/news/leaders/21610263>. Adapted)

We learn in the article, mostly in paragraphs two through four, that

A opção correta é letra: a

Resolução:

Principalmente a partir dos parágrafos dois a quatro, percebemos que:

A) os credores que exigiram receber o total da dívida representam somente uma pequena parte dela.

Através da leitura dos parágrafos dois e três depreende-se que aqueles que exigiram, em juízo, receber o total da dívida ("persuaded a judge that they should be paid in full ...") correspondiam a uma pequena minoria ("tiny minority") de

credores, que, por sua vez, detinham apenas sete por cento da dívida, segundo se pode inferir a partir do terceiro parágrafo.

Resposta: A

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Questão 7 - Língua Inglesa

Argentina defaults – Eighth time unlucky

Cristina Fernández argues that her country's latest default is different. She is missing the point.

Aug 2nd 2014

ARGENTINA'S first bond, issued in 1824, was supposed to have had a lifespan of 46 years. Less than four years later, the government defaulted. Resolving the ensuing stand-off with creditors took 29 years. Since then seven more defaults have followed, the most recent this week, when Argentina failed to make a payment on bonds issued as partial compensation to victims of the previous default, in 2001.

Most investors think they can see a pattern in all this, but Argentina's president, Cristina Fernández de Kirchner, insists the latest default is not like the others. Her government, she points out, had transferred the full \$539m it owed to the banks that administer the bonds. It is America's courts (the bonds were issued under American law) that blocked the payment, at the behest of the tiny minority of owners of bonds from 2001 who did not accept the restructuring Argentina offered them in 2005 and again in 2010. These "hold-outs", balking at the 65% haircut the restructuring entailed, not only persuaded a judge that they should be paid in full but also got him to freeze payments on the restructured bonds until Argentina coughs up.

Argentina claims that paying the hold-outs was impossible. It is not just that they are "vultures" as Argentine officials often put it, who bought the bonds for cents on the dollar after the previous default and are now holding those who accepted the restructuring (accounting for 93% of the debt) to ransom. The main problem is that a clause in the restructured bonds prohibits Argentina from offering the hold-outs better terms without paying everyone else the same. Since it cannot afford to do that, it says it had no choice but to default.

Yet it is not certain that the clause requiring equal treatment of all bondholders would have applied, given that Argentina would not have been paying the hold-outs voluntarily, but on the courts' orders. Moreover, some owners of the restructured bonds had agreed to waive their rights; had Argentina made a concerted effort to persuade the remainder to do the same, it might have succeeded. Lawyers and bankers have suggested various ways around the clause in question, which expires at the end of the year. But Argentina's government was slow to consider these options or negotiate with the hold-outs, hiding instead behind indignant nationalism.

Ms Fernández is right that the consequences of America's court rulings have been perverse, unleashing a big financial dispute in an attempt to solve a relatively small one. But hers is not the first government to be hit with an awkward verdict. Instead of railing against it, she should have tried to minimise the harm it did. Defaulting has helped no one: none of the bondholders will now be paid, Argentina looks like a pariah again, and its economy will remain starved of loans and investment.

Happily, much of the damage can still be undone. It is not too late to strike a deal with the hold-outs or back an ostensibly private effort to buy out their claims. A quick fix would make it easier for Argentina to borrow again internationally. That, in turn, would speed development of big oil and gas deposits, the income from which could help ease its money troubles.

More important, it would help to change perceptions of Argentina as a financial rogue state. Over the past year or so Ms Fernández seems to have been trying to rehabilitate Argentina's image and resuscitate its faltering economy. She settled financial disputes with government creditors and with Repsol, a Spanish oil firm whose Argentine assets she had expropriated in 2012. This week's events have overshadowed all that. For its own sake, and everyone else's, Argentina should hold its nose and do a deal with the hold-outs.

(<http://www.economist.com/news/leaders/21610263>. Adapted)

Argentina's government argues that it can't pay all creditors as required by court because

A opção correta é letra: e

Resolução:

O governo da Argentina argumenta que não consegue pagar todos os credores, conforme exigiu a decisão judicial, porque:

E) O país não tem os recursos necessários para pagar a dívida total a todos os credores.

Lê-se no trecho final do terceiro parágrafo do texto: "...prohibits Argentina from offering the hold-outs better terms without paying everyone else the same. Since it cannot afford to do that, it says, it had no choice but to default."

Resposta: E

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Questão 8 - Língua Inglesa

Argentina defaults – Eighth time unlucky

Cristina Fernández argues that her country's latest default is different. She is missing the point.

Aug 2nd 2014

ARGENTINA'S first bond, issued in 1824, was supposed to have had a lifespan of 46 years. Less than four years later, the government defaulted. Resolving the ensuing stand-off with creditors took 29 years. Since then seven more defaults have followed, the most recent this week, when Argentina failed to make a payment on bonds issued as partial compensation to victims of the previous default, in 2001.

Most investors think they can see a pattern in all this, but Argentina's president, Cristina Fernández de Kirchner, insists the latest default is not like the others. Her government, she points out, had transferred the full \$539m it owed to the banks that administer the bonds. It is America's courts (the bonds were issued under American law) that blocked the payment, at the behest of the tiny minority of owners of bonds from 2001 who did not accept the restructuring Argentina offered them in 2005 and again in 2010. These "hold-outs", balking at the 65% haircut the restructuring entailed, not only persuaded a judge that they should be paid in full but also got him to freeze payments on the restructured bonds until Argentina coughs up.

Argentina claims that paying the hold-outs was impossible. It is not just that they are "vultures" as Argentine officials often put it, who bought the bonds for cents on the dollar after the previous default and are now holding those who accepted the restructuring (accounting for 93% of the debt) to ransom. The main problem is that a clause in the restructured bonds prohibits Argentina from offering the hold-outs better terms without paying everyone else the same. Since it cannot afford to do that, it says it had no choice but to default.

Yet it is not certain that the clause requiring equal treatment of all bondholders would have applied, given that Argentina would not have been paying the hold-outs voluntarily, but on the courts' orders. Moreover, some owners of the restructured bonds had agreed to waive their rights; had Argentina made a concerted effort to persuade the remainder to do the same, it might have succeeded. Lawyers and bankers have suggested various ways around the clause in question, which expires at the end of the year. But Argentina's government was slow to consider these options or negotiate with the hold-outs, hiding instead behind indignant nationalism.

Ms Fernández is right that the consequences of America's court rulings have been perverse, unleashing a big financial dispute in an attempt to solve a relatively small one. But hers is not the first government to be hit with an awkward verdict. Instead of railing against it, she should have tried to minimise the harm it did. Defaulting has helped no one: none of the bondholders will now be paid, Argentina looks like a pariah again, and its economy will remain starved of loans and investment.

Happily, much of the damage can still be undone. It is not too late to strike a deal with the hold-outs or back an ostensibly private effort to buy out their claims. A quick fix would make it easier for Argentina to borrow again internationally. That, in turn, would speed development of big oil and gas deposits, the income from which could help ease its money troubles.

More important, it would help to change perceptions of Argentina as a financial rogue state. Over the past year or so Ms Fernández seems to have been trying to rehabilitate Argentina's image and resuscitate its faltering economy. She settled financial disputes with government creditors and with Repsol, a Spanish oil firm whose Argentine assets she had expropriated in 2012. This week's events have overshadowed all that. For its own sake, and everyone else's, Argentina should hold its nose and do a deal with the hold-outs.

(<http://www.economist.com/news/leaders/21610263>. Adapted)

The fourth paragraph points out that

A opção correta é letra: b

Resolução:

O quarto parágrafo destaca que

A) A cláusula que, segundo a Argentina, a impedia de pagar parte dos credores poderia ter sido contornada.

Depreende-se a partir dos seguintes trechos do quarto parágrafo do texto:

- “Yet it is not certain that the clause requiring equal treatment of all bond holders would have applied...”
- “...had Argentina made a concerted effort to persuade the remainder to do the same, it might have succeeded.”
- “Lawyers and bankers have suggested various ways around the clause in question...”

Resposta: E

Questão 9 - Língua Inglesa

Argentina defaults – Eighth time unlucky

Cristina Fernández argues that her country's latest default is different. She is missing the point.

Aug 2nd 2014

ARGENTINA'S first bond, issued in 1824, was supposed to have had a lifespan of 46 years. Less than four years later, the government defaulted. Resolving the ensuing stand-off with creditors took 29 years. Since then seven more defaults have followed, the most recent this week, when Argentina failed to make a payment on bonds issued as partial compensation to victims of the previous default, in 2001.

Most investors think they can see a pattern in all this, but Argentina's president, Cristina Fernández de Kirchner, insists the latest default is not like the others. Her government, she points out, had transferred the full \$539m it owed to the banks that administer the bonds. It is America's courts (the bonds were issued under American law) that blocked the payment, at the behest of the tiny minority of owners of bonds from 2001 who did not accept the restructuring Argentina offered them in 2005 and again in 2010. These "hold-outs", balking at the 65% haircut the restructuring entailed, not only persuaded a judge that they should be paid in full but also got him to freeze payments on the restructured bonds until Argentina coughs up.

Argentina claims that paying the hold-outs was impossible. It is not just that they are "vultures" as Argentine officials often put it, who bought the bonds for cents on the dollar after the previous default and are now holding those who accepted the restructuring (accounting for 93% of the debt) to ransom. The main problem is that a clause in the restructured bonds prohibits Argentina from offering the hold-outs better terms without paying everyone else the same. Since it cannot afford to do that, it says it had no choice but to default.

Yet it is not certain that the clause requiring equal treatment of all bondholders would have applied, given that Argentina would not have been paying the hold-outs voluntarily, but on the courts' orders. Moreover, some owners of the restructured bonds had agreed to waive their rights; had Argentina made a concerted effort to persuade the remainder to do the same, it might have succeeded. Lawyers and bankers have suggested various ways around the clause in question, which expires at the end of the year. But Argentina's government was slow to consider these options or negotiate with the hold-outs, hiding instead behind indignant nationalism.

Ms Fernández is right that the consequences of America's court rulings have been perverse, unleashing a big financial dispute in an attempt to solve a relatively small one. But hers is not the first government to be hit with an awkward verdict. Instead of railing against it, she should have tried to minimise the harm it did. Defaulting has helped no one: none of the bondholders will now be paid, Argentina looks like a pariah again, and its economy will remain starved of loans and investment.

Happily, much of the damage can still be undone. It is not too late to strike a deal with the hold-outs or back an ostensibly private effort to buy out their claims. A quick fix would make it easier for Argentina to borrow again internationally. That, in turn, would speed development of big oil and gas deposits, the income from which could help ease its money troubles.

More important, it would help to change perceptions of Argentina as a financial rogue state. Over the past year or so Ms Fernández seems to have been trying to rehabilitate Argentina's image and resuscitate its faltering economy. She settled financial disputes with government creditors and with Repsol, a Spanish oil firm whose Argentine assets she had expropriated in 2012. This week's events have overshadowed all that. For its own sake, and everyone else's, Argentina should hold its nose and do a deal with the hold-outs.

(<http://www.economist.com/news/leaders/21610263>. Adapted)

The excerpt from the fourth paragraph – *had Argentina made a concerted effort to persuade the remainder to do the same, it might have succeeded.* – denotes an idea of

A opção correta é letra: d

Resolução:

"Tivesse a Argentina (Se a Argentina tivesse) feito um esforço concentrado para persuadir o restante a fazer o mesmo, ela poderia ter tido sucesso" – denota uma ideia de hipótese.

Resposta: D



Questão 10 - Língua Inglesa

Argentina defaults – Eighth time unlucky

Cristina Fernández argues that her country's latest default is different. She is missing the point.

Aug 2nd 2014

ARGENTINA'S first bond, issued in 1824, was supposed to have had a lifespan of 46 years. Less than four years later, the government defaulted. Resolving the ensuing stand-off with creditors took 29 years. Since then seven more defaults have followed, the most recent this week, when Argentina failed to make a payment on bonds issued as partial compensation to victims of the previous default, in 2001.

Most investors think they can see a pattern in all this, but Argentina's president, Cristina Fernández de Kirchner, insists the latest default is not like the others. Her government, she points out, had transferred the full \$539m it owed to the banks that administer the bonds. It is America's courts (the bonds were issued under American law) that blocked the payment, at the behest of the tiny minority of owners of bonds from 2001 who did not accept the restructuring Argentina offered them in 2005 and again in 2010. These "hold-outs", balking at the 65% haircut the restructuring entailed, not only persuaded a judge that they should be paid in full but also got him to freeze payments on the restructured bonds until Argentina coughs up.

Argentina claims that paying the hold-outs was impossible. It is not just that they are "vultures" as Argentine officials often put it, who bought the bonds for cents on the dollar after the previous default and are now holding those who accepted the restructuring (accounting for 93% of the debt) to ransom. The main problem is that a clause in the restructured bonds prohibits Argentina from offering the hold-outs better terms without paying everyone else the same. Since it cannot afford to do that, it says it had no choice but to default.

Yet it is not certain that the clause requiring equal treatment of all bondholders would have applied, given that Argentina would not have been paying the hold-outs voluntarily, but on the courts' orders. Moreover, some owners of the restructured bonds had agreed to waive their rights; had Argentina made a concerted effort to persuade the remainder to do the same, it might have succeeded. Lawyers and bankers have suggested various ways around the clause in question, which expires at the end of the year. But Argentina's government was slow to consider these options or negotiate with the hold-outs, hiding instead behind indignant nationalism.

Ms Fernández is right that the consequences of America's court rulings have been perverse, unleashing a big financial dispute in an attempt to solve a relatively small one. But hers is not the first government to be hit with an awkward verdict. Instead of railing against it, she should have tried to minimise the harm it did. Defaulting has helped no one: none of the bondholders will now be paid, Argentina looks like a pariah again, and its economy will remain starved of loans and investment.

Happily, much of the damage can still be undone. It is not too late to strike a deal with the hold-outs or back an ostensibly private effort to buy out their claims. A quick fix would make it easier for Argentina to borrow again internationally. That, in turn, would speed development of big oil and gas deposits, the income from which could help ease its money troubles.

More important, it would help to change perceptions of Argentina as a financial rogue state. Over the past year or so Ms Fernández seems to have been trying to rehabilitate Argentina's image and resuscitate its faltering economy. She settled financial disputes with government creditors and with Repsol, a Spanish oil firm whose Argentine assets she had expropriated in 2012. This week's events have overshadowed all that. For its own sake, and everyone else's, Argentina should hold its nose and do a deal with the hold-outs.

(<http://www.economist.com/news/leaders/21610263>. Adapted)

In its fifth paragraph, the article

A opção correta é letra: c

Resolução:

No seu quinto parágrafo, o artigo "não isenta a presidente da Argentina de responsabilidade pela inadimplência."

Lê-se: "Instead of railing... the harm it did."



Argentina defaults – Eighth time unlucky

Cristina Fernández argues that her country's latest default is different. She is missing the point.

Aug 2nd 2014

ARGENTINA'S first bond, issued in 1824, was supposed to have had a lifespan of 46 years. Less than four years later, the government defaulted. Resolving the ensuing stand-off with creditors took 29 years. Since then seven more defaults have followed, the most recent this week, when Argentina failed to make a payment on bonds issued as partial compensation to victims of the previous default, in 2001.

Most investors think they can see a pattern in all this, but Argentina's president, Cristina Fernández de Kirchner, insists the latest default is not like the others. Her government, she points out, had transferred the full \$539m it owed to the banks that administer the bonds. It is America's courts (the bonds were issued under American law) that blocked the payment, at the behest of the tiny minority of owners of bonds from 2001 who did not accept the restructuring Argentina offered them in 2005 and again in 2010. These "hold-outs", balking at the 65% haircut the restructuring entailed, not only persuaded a judge that they should be paid in full but also got him to freeze payments on the restructured bonds until Argentina coughs up.

Argentina claims that paying the hold-outs was impossible. It is not just that they are "vultures" as Argentine officials often put it, who bought the bonds for cents on the dollar after the previous default and are now holding those who accepted the restructuring (accounting for 93% of the debt) to ransom. The main problem is that a clause in the restructured bonds prohibits Argentina from offering the hold-outs better terms without paying everyone else the same. Since it cannot afford to do that, it says it had no choice but to default.

Yet it is not certain that the clause requiring equal treatment of all bondholders would have applied, given that Argentina would not have been paying the hold-outs voluntarily, but on the courts' orders. Moreover, some owners of the restructured bonds had agreed to waive their rights; had Argentina made a concerted effort to persuade the remainder to do the same, it might have succeeded. Lawyers and bankers have suggested various ways around the clause in question, which expires at the end of the year. But Argentina's government was slow to consider these options or negotiate with the hold-outs, hiding instead behind indignant nationalism.

Ms Fernández is right that the consequences of America's court rulings have been perverse, unleashing a big financial dispute in an attempt to solve a relatively small one. But hers is not the first government to be hit with an awkward verdict. Instead of railing against it, she should have tried to minimise the harm it did. Defaulting has helped no one: none of the bondholders will now be paid, Argentina looks like a pariah again, and its economy will remain starved of loans and investment.

Happily, much of the damage can still be undone. It is not too late to strike a deal with the hold-outs or back an ostensibly private effort to buy out their claims. A quick fix would make it easier for Argentina to borrow again internationally. That, in turn, would speed development of big oil and gas deposits, the income from which could help ease its money troubles.

More important, it would help to change perceptions of Argentina as a financial rogue state. Over the past year or so Ms Fernández seems to have been trying to rehabilitate Argentina's image and resuscitate its faltering economy. She settled financial disputes with government creditors and with Repsol, a Spanish oil firm whose Argentine assets she had expropriated in 2012. This week's events have overshadowed all that. For its own sake, and everyone else's, Argentina should hold its nose and do a deal with the hold-outs.

(<http://www.economist.com/news/leaders/21610263>. Adapted)

The word *hers*, as used in the second sentence of the fifth paragraph, refers to the Argentine

A opção correta é letra: e

Resolução:

Lê-se no trecho: "But hers is not the first government..."

"Mas o dela não é o primeiro governo..."

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Argentina defaults – Eighth time unlucky

Cristina Fernández argues that her country's latest default is different. She is missing the point.

Aug 2nd 2014

ARGENTINA'S first bond, issued in 1824, was supposed to have had a lifespan of 46 years. Less than four years later, the government defaulted. Resolving the ensuing stand-off with creditors took 29 years. Since then seven more defaults have followed, the most recent this week, when Argentina failed to make a payment on bonds issued as partial compensation to victims of the previous default, in 2001.

Most investors think they can see a pattern in all this, but Argentina's president, Cristina Fernández de Kirchner, insists the latest default is not like the others. Her government, she points out, had transferred the full \$539m it owed to the banks that administer the bonds. It is America's courts (the bonds were issued under American law) that blocked the payment, at the behest of the tiny minority of owners of bonds from 2001 who did not accept the restructuring Argentina offered them in 2005 and again in 2010. These "hold-outs", balking at the 65% haircut the restructuring entailed, not only persuaded a judge that they should be paid in full but also got him to freeze payments on the restructured bonds until Argentina coughs up.

Argentina claims that paying the hold-outs was impossible. It is not just that they are "vultures" as Argentine officials often put it, who bought the bonds for cents on the dollar after the previous default and are now holding those who accepted the restructuring (accounting for 93% of the debt) to ransom. The main problem is that a clause in the restructured bonds prohibits Argentina from offering the hold-outs better terms without paying everyone else the same. Since it cannot afford to do that, it says it had no choice but to default.

Yet it is not certain that the clause requiring equal treatment of all bondholders would have applied, given that Argentina would not have been paying the hold-outs voluntarily, but on the courts' orders. Moreover, some owners of the restructured bonds had agreed to waive their rights; had Argentina made a concerted effort to persuade the remainder to do the same, it might have succeeded. Lawyers and bankers have suggested various ways around the clause in question, which expires at the end of the year. But Argentina's government was slow to consider these options or negotiate with the hold-outs, hiding instead behind indignant nationalism.

Ms Fernández is right that the consequences of America's court rulings have been perverse, unleashing a big financial dispute in an attempt to solve a relatively small one. But hers is not the first government to be hit with an awkward verdict. Instead of railing against it, she should have tried to minimise the harm it did. Defaulting has helped no one: none of the bondholders will now be paid, Argentina looks like a pariah again, and its economy will remain starved of loans and investment.

Happily, much of the damage can still be undone. It is not too late to strike a deal with the hold-outs or back an ostensibly private effort to buy out their claims. A quick fix would make it easier for Argentina to borrow again internationally. That, in turn, would speed development of big oil and gas deposits, the income from which could help ease its money troubles.

More important, it would help to change perceptions of Argentina as a financial rogue state. Over the past year or so Ms Fernández seems to have been trying to rehabilitate Argentina's image and resuscitate its faltering economy. She settled financial disputes with government creditors and with Repsol, a Spanish oil firm whose Argentine assets she had expropriated in 2012. This week's events have overshadowed all that. For its own sake, and everyone else's, Argentina should hold its nose and do a deal with the hold-outs.

(<http://www.economist.com/news/leaders/21610263>. Adapted)

According to the sixth paragraph,

A opção correta é letra: a

Resolução:

"Ainda há espaço para um acordo com os acionistas, o que pode trazer consequências positivas para a Argentina."

Lê-se no trecho: "It is not too late... again internationally."

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Questão 13 - Língua Inglesa

Argentina defaults – Eighth time unlucky

Cristina Fernández argues that her country's latest default is different. She is missing the point.

Aug 2nd 2014

ARGENTINA'S first bond, issued in 1824, was supposed to have had a lifespan of 46 years. Less than four years later, the government defaulted. Resolving the ensuing stand-off with creditors took 29 years. Since then seven more defaults have followed, the most recent this week, when Argentina failed to make a payment on bonds issued as partial compensation to victims of the previous default, in 2001.

Most investors think they can see a pattern in all this, but Argentina's president, Cristina Fernández de Kirchner, insists the latest default is not like the others. Her government, she points out, had transferred the full \$539m it owed to the banks that administer the bonds. It is America's courts (the bonds were issued under American law) that blocked the payment, at the behest of the tiny minority of owners of bonds from 2001 who did not accept the restructuring Argentina offered them in 2005 and again in 2010. These "hold-outs", balking at the 65% haircut the restructuring entailed, not only persuaded a judge that they should be paid in full but also got him to freeze payments on the restructured bonds until Argentina coughs up.

Argentina claims that paying the hold-outs was impossible. It is not just that they are "vultures" as Argentine officials often put it, who bought the bonds for cents on the dollar after the previous default and are now holding those who accepted the restructuring (accounting for 93% of the debt) to ransom. The main problem is that a clause in the restructured bonds prohibits Argentina from offering the hold-outs better terms without paying everyone else the same. Since it cannot afford to do that, it says it had no choice but to default.

Yet it is not certain that the clause requiring equal treatment of all bondholders would have applied, given that Argentina would not have been paying the hold-outs voluntarily, but on the courts' orders. Moreover, some owners of the restructured bonds had agreed to waive their rights; had Argentina made a concerted effort to persuade the remainder to do the same, it might have succeeded. Lawyers and bankers have suggested various ways around the clause in question, which expires at the end of the year. But Argentina's government was slow to consider these options or negotiate with the hold-outs, hiding instead behind indignant nationalism.

Ms Fernández is right that the consequences of America's court rulings have been perverse, unleashing a big financial dispute in an attempt to solve a relatively small one. But hers is not the first government to be hit with an awkward verdict. Instead of railing against it, she should have tried to minimise the harm it did. Defaulting has helped no one: none of the bondholders will now be paid, Argentina looks like a pariah again, and its economy will remain starved of loans and investment.

Happily, much of the damage can still be undone. It is not too late to strike a deal with the hold-outs or back an ostensibly private effort to buy out their claims. A quick fix would make it easier for Argentina to borrow again internationally. That, in turn, would speed development of big oil and gas deposits, the income from which could help ease its money troubles.

More important, it would help to change perceptions of Argentina as a financial rogue state. Over the past year or so Ms Fernández seems to have been trying to rehabilitate Argentina's image and resuscitate its faltering economy. She settled financial disputes with government creditors and with Repsol, a Spanish oil firm whose Argentine assets she had expropriated in 2012. This week's events have overshadowed all that. For its own sake, and everyone else's, Argentina should hold its nose and do a deal with the hold-outs.

(<http://www.economist.com/news/leaders/21610263>. Adapted)

In the excerpt from the last paragraph – ... *perceptions of Argentina as a financial rogue state* – the expression *financial rogue state* implies a country which is

A opção correta é letra: d

Resolução:

A expressão 'um estado desonesto financeiramente (inadimplente; caloteiro)' "implica em um estado que está tentando enganar os outros em seu próprio benefício."

Resposta: D



Questão 14 - Língua Inglesa

Argentina defaults – Eighth time unlucky

Cristina Fernández argues that her country's latest default is different. She is missing the point.

Aug 2nd 2014

ARGENTINA'S first bond, issued in 1824, was supposed to have had a lifespan of 46 years. Less than four years later, the government defaulted. Resolving the ensuing stand-off with creditors took 29 years. Since then seven more defaults have followed, the most recent this week, when Argentina failed to make a payment on bonds issued as partial compensation to victims of the previous default, in 2001.

Most investors think they can see a pattern in all this, but Argentina's president, Cristina Fernández de Kirchner, insists the latest default is not like the others. Her government, she points out, had transferred the full \$539m it owed to the banks that administer the bonds. It is America's courts (the bonds were issued under American law) that blocked the payment, at the behest of the tiny minority of owners of bonds from 2001 who did not accept the restructuring Argentina offered them in 2005 and again in 2010. These "hold-outs", balking at the 65% haircut the restructuring entailed, not only persuaded a judge that they should be paid in full but also got him to freeze payments on the restructured bonds until Argentina coughs up.

Argentina claims that paying the hold-outs was impossible. It is not just that they are "vultures" as Argentine officials often put it, who bought the bonds for cents on the dollar after the previous default and are now holding those who accepted the restructuring (accounting for 93% of the debt) to ransom. The main problem is that a clause in the restructured bonds prohibits Argentina from offering the hold-outs better terms without paying everyone else the same. Since it cannot afford to do that, it says it had no choice but to default.

Yet it is not certain that the clause requiring equal treatment of all bondholders would have applied, given that Argentina would not have been paying the hold-outs voluntarily, but on the courts' orders. Moreover, some owners of the restructured bonds had agreed to waive their rights; had Argentina made a concerted effort to persuade the remainder to do the same, it might have succeeded. Lawyers and bankers have suggested various ways around the clause in question, which expires at the end of the year. But Argentina's government was slow to consider these options or negotiate with the hold-outs, hiding instead behind indignant nationalism.

Ms Fernández is right that the consequences of America's court rulings have been perverse, unleashing a big financial dispute in an attempt to solve a relatively small one. But hers is not the first government to be hit with an awkward verdict. Instead of railing against it, she should have tried to minimise the harm it did. Defaulting has helped no one: none of the bondholders will now be paid, Argentina looks like a pariah again, and its economy will remain starved of loans and investment.

Happily, much of the damage can still be undone. It is not too late to strike a deal with the hold-outs or back an ostensibly private effort to buy out their claims. A quick fix would make it easier for Argentina to borrow again internationally. That, in turn, would speed development of big oil and gas deposits, the income from which could help ease its money troubles.

More important, it would help to change perceptions of Argentina as a financial rogue state. Over the past year or so Ms Fernández seems to have been trying to rehabilitate Argentina's image and resuscitate its faltering economy. She settled financial disputes with government creditors and with Repsol, a Spanish oil firm whose Argentine assets she had expropriated in 2012. This week's events have overshadowed all that. For its own sake, and everyone else's, Argentina should hold its nose and do a deal with the hold-outs.

(<http://www.economist.com/news/leaders/21610263>. Adapted)

The last paragraph implies that

A opção correta é letra: c

Resolução:

O último parágrafo implica que "a Argentina tem tomado boas medidas economicas no passado recente e deve lutar para voltar à essa mesma trilha."

Lê-se no trecho: "Over the past year or so... its faltering economy."

Resposta: C



Questão 15 - Língua Inglesa

Argentina defaults – Eighth time unlucky

Cristina Fernández argues that her country's latest default is different. She is missing the point.

Aug 2nd 2014

ARGENTINA'S first bond, issued in 1824, was supposed to have had a lifespan of 46 years. Less than four years later, the government defaulted. Resolving the ensuing stand-off with creditors took 29 years. Since then seven more defaults have followed, the most recent this week, when Argentina failed to make a payment on bonds issued as partial compensation to victims of the previous default, in 2001.

Most investors think they can see a pattern in all this, but Argentina's president, Cristina Fernández de Kirchner, insists the latest default is not like the others. Her government, she points out, had transferred the full \$539m it owed to the banks that administer the bonds. It is America's courts (the bonds were issued under American law) that blocked the payment, at the behest of the tiny minority of owners of bonds from 2001 who did not accept the restructuring Argentina offered them in 2005 and again in 2010. These "hold-outs", balking at the 65% haircut the restructuring entailed, not only persuaded a judge that they should be paid in full but also got him to freeze payments on the restructured bonds until Argentina coughs up.

Argentina claims that paying the hold-outs was impossible. It is not just that they are "vultures" as Argentine officials often put it, who bought the bonds for cents on the dollar after the previous default and are now holding those who accepted the restructuring (accounting for 93% of the debt) to ransom. The main problem is that a clause in the restructured bonds prohibits Argentina from offering the hold-outs better terms without paying everyone else the same. Since it cannot afford to do that, it says it had no choice but to default.

Yet it is not certain that the clause requiring equal treatment of all bondholders would have applied, given that Argentina would not have been paying the hold-outs voluntarily, but on the courts' orders. Moreover, some owners of the restructured bonds had agreed to waive their rights; had Argentina made a concerted effort to persuade the remainder to do the same, it might have succeeded. Lawyers and bankers have suggested various ways around the clause in question, which expires at the end of the year. But Argentina's government was slow to consider these options or negotiate with the hold-outs, hiding instead behind indignant nationalism.

Ms Fernández is right that the consequences of America's court rulings have been perverse, unleashing a big financial dispute in an attempt to solve a relatively small one. But hers is not the first government to be hit with an awkward verdict. Instead of railing against it, she should have tried to minimise the harm it did. Defaulting has helped no one: none of the bondholders will now be paid, Argentina looks like a pariah again, and its economy will remain starved of loans and investment.

Happily, much of the damage can still be undone. It is not too late to strike a deal with the hold-outs or back an ostensibly private effort to buy out their claims. A quick fix would make it easier for Argentina to borrow again internationally. That, in turn, would speed development of big oil and gas deposits, the income from which could help ease its money troubles.

More important, it would help to change perceptions of Argentina as a financial rogue state. Over the past year or so Ms Fernández seems to have been trying to rehabilitate Argentina's image and resuscitate its faltering economy. She settled financial disputes with government creditors and with Repsol, a Spanish oil firm whose Argentine assets she had expropriated in 2012. This week's events have overshadowed all that. For its own sake, and everyone else's, Argentina should hold its nose and do a deal with the hold-outs.

(<http://www.economist.com/news/leaders/21610263>. Adapted)

In the last sentence of the text, the use of the phrase *Argentina should hold its nose* implies that Argentina should

A opção correta é letra: b

Resolução:

O uso da frase "A Argentina deve segurar seu nariz" implica que a Argentina deve "ir contra seu orgulho político nacional e negociar com os credores."

Resposta: B



Questão 16 - Física

Na pista de testes de uma montadora de automóveis, foram feitas medições do comprimento da pista e do tempo gasto por um certo veículo para percorrê-la. Os valores obtidos foram, respectivamente, 1030,0 m e 25,0 s. Levando-se em conta a precisão das medidas efetuadas, é correto afirmar que a velocidade média desenvolvida pelo citado veículo foi, em m/s, de

A opção correta é letra: c

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Questão 17 - Física

A força resistiva (F_r) que o ar exerce sobre os corpos em movimento assume, em determinadas condições, a expressão $F_r = k \cdot v^2$, em que v é a velocidade do corpo em relação a um referencial inercial e k é uma constante para cada corpo. Para que a expressão citada seja homogênea, a unidade de k , no sistema internacional de unidades, deve ser

A opção correta é letra: b

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve


Questão 18 - Física

Dois estudantes da FGV divertem-se jogando sinuca, após uma exaustiva jornada de estudos. Um deles impulsiona a bola branca sobre a bola vermelha, idênticas exceto pela cor, inicialmente em repouso. Eles observam que, imediatamente após a colisão frontal, a bola branca para e a vermelha passa a se deslocar na mesma direção e no mesmo sentido da velocidade anterior da bola branca, mas de valor 10% menor que a referida velocidade. Sobre esse evento, é correto afirmar que houve conservação de momento linear do sistema de bolas, mas sua energia mecânica diminuiu em

A opção correta é letra: e

Questão 19 - Física

Uma criança está parada em pé sobre o tablado circular girante de um carrossel em movimento circular e uniforme, como mostra o esquema (uma vista de cima e outra de perfil).



O correto esquema de forças atuantes sobre a criança para um observador parado no chão fora do tablado é:

(**Dados:** F: força do tablado; N: reação normal do tablado; P: peso da criança)

A opção correta é letra: d

Questão 20 - Física

Em seu livro *O pequeno príncipe*, Antoine de Saint-Exupéry imaginou haver vida em certo planeta ideal. Tal planeta teria dimensões curiosas e grandezas gravitacionais inimagináveis na prática. Pesquisas científicas, entretanto, continuam sendo realizadas e não se descarta a possibilidade de haver mais planetas no sistema solar, além dos já conhecidos.

Imagine um hipotético planeta, distante do Sol 10 vezes mais longe do que a Terra se encontra desse astro, com massa 4 vezes maior que a terrestre e raio superficial igual à metade do raio da Terra. Considere a aceleração da gravidade na superfície da Terra expressa por g .

Esse planeta completaria uma volta em torno do Sol em um tempo, expresso em anos terrestres, mais próximo de

A opção correta é letra: e

Questão 21 - Física

Em seu livro *O pequeno príncipe*, Antoine de Saint-Exupéry imaginou haver vida em certo planeta ideal. Tal planeta teria dimensões curiosas e grandezas gravitacionais inimagináveis na prática. Pesquisas científicas, entretanto, continuam sendo realizadas e não se descarta a possibilidade de haver mais planetas no sistema solar, além dos já conhecidos.

Imagine um hipotético planeta, distante do Sol 10 vezes mais longe do que a Terra se encontra desse astro, com massa 4 vezes maior que a terrestre e raio superficial igual à metade do raio da Terra. Considere a aceleração da gravidade na superfície da Terra expressa por g .


Um objeto, de massa m , a uma altura h acima do solo desse planeta, com h muito menor do que o raio superficial do planeta, teria uma energia potencial dada por $m \cdot g \cdot h$ multiplicada pelo fator

A opção correta é letra: b

Questão 22 - Física

Embora os avanços tecnológicos tenham contemplado a civilização com instrumentos de medida de alta precisão, há situações em que rudimentares aparelhos de medida se tornam indispensáveis. É o caso da balança portátil de 2 braços, muito útil no campo agrícola.

Imagine uma saca repleta de certa fruta colhida em um pomar. Na figura que a esquematiza, o braço AC, em cuja extremidade está pendurada a saca, mede 3,5 cm, enquanto que o braço CB, em cuja extremidade há um bloco de peso aferido 5,0 kgf, mede 31,5 cm. A balança está em equilíbrio na direção horizontal, suspensa pelo ponto C.




Desprezado o peso próprio dos braços da balança, o peso da saca, em kgf, é de

A opção correta é letra: d

Questão 23 - Física

A indústria de produção de bens materiais vive em permanentes pesquisas no intuito de usar materiais cada vez mais leves e duráveis e menos agressivos ao meio ambiente. Com esse objetivo, é realizada a experiência descrita a seguir. Trata-se da determinação experimental da massa específica de um sólido e da densidade absoluta de um líquido. Um bloco em forma de paralelepípedo, graduado em suas paredes externas, feito do material cuja massa específica se deseja obter, é imerso, inicialmente em água, de densidade absoluta $1,0 \text{ g/cm}^3$, em que consegue se manter flutuando em equilíbrio, com metade de seu volume imerso (figura 1). A seguir, esse mesmo bloco é imerso em outro líquido, cuja densidade se deseja medir, passando a nele flutuar com 80% de seu volume imerso (figura 2).




O experimento conduz aos resultados da massa específica do material do bloco e da densidade absoluta do líquido, em g/cm^3 , respectivamente:

A opção correta é letra: a

Questão 24 - Física

O gráfico ilustra o comportamento das pressões (p), em função dos volumes (V), em duas transformações consecutivas, AB e BC, sofridas por certa massa de gás encerrada em um recipiente dotado de êmbolo, como o cilindro de um motor a explosão. Sabe-se que há uma relação entre os volumes ocupados pelo gás na transformação AB ($V_A = 2 \cdot V_B$), e também entre as pressões ($p_C = 2 \cdot p_B = 4 \cdot p_A$).



É correto afirmar que as transformações AB e BC pelas quais o gás passou foram, respectivamente,

A opção correta é letra: a

Questão 25 - Física

Durante seus estudos de preparação para o vestibular da FGV, um aluno pensa acerca da luz visível que se propaga no ar de seu ambiente a uma velocidade bem próxima de $3,0 \cdot 10^8$ m/s. Consultando seus apontamentos, verifica que se trata de uma onda e que sua frequência média de vibração é da ordem de $1,0 \cdot 10^{14}$ Hz. Ele ouve uma buzina que emite um som agudo vibrando a uma frequência estimada em 1,0 kHz, cuja velocidade de propagação no ar é de 320 m/s. A relação λ_L / λ_S entre os comprimentos de onda da luz (λ_L) e do som (λ_S) citados é mais próxima de

A opção correta é letra: e

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve


Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Questão 26 - Física

Em um laboratório de ótica, é realizada uma experiência de determinação dos índices de refração absolutos de diversos materiais. Dois blocos de mesmas dimensões e em forma de finos paralelepípedos são feitos de cristal e de certo polímero, ambos transparentes. Suas faces de maior área são, então, sobrepostas e um estreito feixe de luz monocromática incide vindo do ar e no ar emergindo após atravessar os dois blocos, como ilustra a figura.



Chamando de n_{ar} , n_{po} e n_{cr} aos índices de refração absolutos do ar, do polímero e do cristal, respectivamente, a correta relação de ordem entre esses índices, de acordo com a figura, é:

A opção correta é letra: b

Questão 27 - Física

Deseja-se eletrizar um objeto metálico, inicialmente neutro, pelos processos de eletrização conhecidos, e obter uma quantidade de carga negativa de $3,2 \mu\text{C}$. Sabendo-se que a carga elementar vale $1,6 \cdot 10^{-19} \text{ C}$, para se conseguir a eletrização desejada será preciso

A opção correta é letra: c

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Questão 28 - Física

Em uma empresa de computação gráfica, os profissionais utilizam *notebooks* para a execução de seus trabalhos. No intuito de obter melhores imagens, eles conectam os *notebooks* em monitores de alta definição, os quais consomem 250 W de potência cada um, ligados na rede elétrica de 125 V. Quatro desses monitores ficam ligados 10 horas por dia cada um durante os 25 dias do mês; o quilowatt-hora da distribuidora de energia elétrica custa R\$ 0,50, já com os impostos. Os acréscimos na intensidade da corrente elétrica lançada ao recinto de trabalho e na despesa de energia elétrica dessa empresa nesse mês, apenas devido ao uso dos monitores, devem ser, respectivamente, de

A opção correta é letra: c

Questão 29 - Física

É comum um componente eletrônico apresentar a especificação 2W-4V e funcionar corretamente mesmo alimentado por uma bateria ideal de fem 12 V. Nessas circunstâncias, esse componente é associado a outro, geralmente um resistor, o que faz com que a associação funcione normalmente. Tal resistor deve ser associado em _____ com o componente, ter uma resistência elétrica de _____ Ω e dissipar uma potência de _____ W.

Assinale a alternativa que preenche, correta e respectivamente, as lacunas.

A opção correta é letra: a

Questão 30 - Física

Desde tempos remotos, muito se especulou acerca da origem e, principalmente, das características do campo magnético terrestre. Recentes pesquisas, usando sondas espaciais, demonstram que o campo magnético terrestre

A opção correta é letra: a

Questão 31 - Química

Um experimento de laboratório para estudo de misturas foi realizado em uma aula prática, empregando-se as substâncias da tabela seguinte:

Recipiente	Substâncias	Fórmula molecular	Densidade aproximada g/cm ³ 20 °C
I	Tetracloroeto de carbono	CCl ₄	1,6
II	Benzeno	C ₆ H ₆	0,88
III	Água	H ₂ O	1,0
IV	Iodo	I ₂	4,9

Os alunos documentaram os reagentes por meio de fotografias:



Uma fotografia do resultado da mistura de 3 dessas substâncias, seguida da agitação e da decantação, é apresentada a seguir:



É correto afirmar que, no tubo de ensaio contendo a mistura do experimento, a fase superior é composta de _____ e a fase inferior é composta de _____.

As lacunas no texto são preenchidas, correta e respectivamente, por:

A opção correta é letra: e

Questão 32 - Química

O Brasil inaugurou em 2014 o Projeto Sirius, um acelerador de partículas que permitirá o desenvolvimento de pesquisa na área de materiais, física, química e biologia. Seu funcionamento se dará pelo fornecimento de energia a feixes de partículas subatômicas eletricamente carregadas: prótons e elétrons.

(<http://www.brasil.gov.br/ciencia-e-tecnologia/2014/02/>. Adaptado)

Na tabela, são apresentadas informações das quantidades de algumas partículas subatômicas para os íons X^{2-} e A^{2+} :

Carga da partícula	X^{2-}	A^{2+}
positiva	16	y
negativa	18	18

Nessa tabela, o nome do elemento X e o valor de y são, respectivamente,




A opção correta é letra: e

Questão 33 - Química

O segmento empresarial de lavanderias no Brasil tem tido um grande crescimento nas últimas décadas. Dentre os solventes mais empregados nas lavanderias industriais, destacam-se as isoparafinas, I, e o tetracloroetileno, II, conhecido comercialmente como percloro. Um produto amplamente empregado no setor de lavanderia hospitalar é representado na estrutura III.

(<http://www.freedom.inf.br/revista/hc18/household.asp>

<http://www.ccih.med.br/Caderno%20E.pdf>. Adaptado)



Considerando cada uma das substâncias separadamente, as principais forças intermoleculares que ocorrem em I, II e III são, correta e respectivamente:

A opção correta é letra: c

Questão 34 - Química

O espinélio de magnésio e alumínio é um material que apresenta uma combinação de propriedades de grande interesse tecnológico. Em uma das etapas para a produção desse material, Mg(OH)_2 e Al(OH)_3 são combinados na proporção molar 1:2, respectivamente. Na fórmula unitária do espinélio AB_2O_x , a proporção dos íons magnésio e alumínio é a mesma da mistura reacional. O número de átomos de oxigênio no espinélio de magnésio e alumínio AB_2X é igual a

A opção correta é letra: d

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Anglo Resolve

Questão 35 - Química

Um professor propôs um trabalho a um grupo de alunos empregando um conjunto de 14 bolas de diferentes tipos de plásticos, para montar um modelo, com bolas e varetas, da estrutura molecular do acetato de etila, fórmula molecular $C_4H_8O_2$. Para o experimento, os alunos dispuseram de balança e régua.

Para representarem corretamente o modelo da molécula do $C_4H_8O_2$, as bolas de plástico tinham tamanhos diferentes (pequenas, médias e grandes). Suas massas, em gramas, eram iguais aos valores das massas molares dos elementos C, H e O. Em escala ampliada para centímetros, seus raios tinham a mesma ordem de tamanho dos raios atômicos dos átomos que representavam.

Sobre essas bolas de plástico que representam as características de tamanho e massa dos átomos de C, H e O, os alunos concluíram:

- I. as bolas que representam os átomos de H eram as pequenas, e as que representam os átomos de O eram as grandes;
- II. a massa total das bolas grandes correspondia a seis vezes a massa total das bolas de tamanho pequeno;
- III. a massa total das bolas pequenas correspondia a $\frac{1}{4}$ da massa total das bolas de tamanho médio.

Classificando cada uma das conclusões como verdadeira (V) ou falsa (F), tem-se, correta e respectivamente:


A opção correta é letra: a

Questão 36 - Química

O faturamento da indústria farmacêutica no Brasil vem aumentando nos últimos anos e mantém forte potencial de crescimento. A população utiliza medicamentos preventivos de doenças, como a vitamina C, anti-inflamatórios de última geração, como a nimesulida, e medicação de uso continuado, como o propranolol.

(<http://www.espm.br/Publicacoes/CentralDeCases/Documents/ACHE.pdf>, <http://qnint.sbgq.org.br/qni/visualizarConceito.php?idConceito=14>, Química Nova, vol. 36, n.º 8, 123-124, 2013)

Nas reações, apresentam-se as reações de hidrólise com os reagentes da vitamina C (I), da nimesulida (II) e do propranolol (III).



De acordo com o conceito de ácidos-bases de Brønsted-Lorry, a água nas equações I, II e III é classificada, respectivamente, como:


A opção correta é letra: c

Resolução:

Resposta: C

Questão 37 - Química

O consumo brasileiro total de explosivos não militares é da ordem de 200 mil t/ano por empresas mineradoras como a Vale (Carajás e Itabira), MBR, Yamana, dentre outras. O principal explosivo empregado é o nitrato de amônio, embalado em cartuchos. Sua ação como explosivo se deve à sua instabilidade térmica. Por meio da ignição de um sistema detonador, esse sal se decompõe resultando em produtos gasosos de acordo com a seguinte equação química:



(Explosivos em Expansão, em Posto de Escuta: crônicas químicas e econômicas. Albert Hahn, Editora Cla, 2012. Adaptado)


Considerando um cartucho com a capacidade de 1,0 L, contendo 160 g de nitrato de amônio, no instante da ignição, quando ocorre a completa reação de decomposição do sal a 167 °C, a pressão no interior do cartucho, no instante de sua ruptura e explosão é, em atm, igual a aproximadamente

(Dado: $R = 0,082 \text{ atm}\cdot\text{L}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}$)

A opção correta é letra: c

Resolução:

De acordo com a equação dada temos:



1 mol (80 g) _____ 3,5 mols de gás

160 g _____ x

x = 7 mols de gás formado

P = ?

n = 7 mols

V = 1 L

T = 167 °C = 440 K

P.V = n . R . T

P . 1 = 7 . 0,082 . 440

P ≈ 252 atm no momento da ruptura e explosão.

Resposta: C

Questão 38 - Química

A cachaça é um produto genuinamente brasileiro reconhecido internacionalmente e registrado na Organização Mundial de Comércio. A produção artesanal, com a utilização de alambiques de cobre, atinge 300 milhões de litros por ano. Os apreciadores avaliam que o produto artesanal tem melhor qualidade e sabor do que o produzido em alambiques de aço inoxidável; entretanto a cachaça artesanal apresenta o teor de cobre residual que deve obedecer o limite máximo de 5 mg/L.

(<http://www.scielo.br/pdf/qn/v32n4/v32n4a04.pdf>. Adaptado)

A quantidade máxima de cobre, em quilogramas, que pode ser encontrada no volume considerado de cachaça artesanal produzida durante um ano no Brasil e que respeita o limite máximo de cobre nessa bebida é

A opção correta é letra: b

Questão 39 - Química

O uso do radioisótopo rutênio-106 (^{106}Ru) vem sendo estudado por médicos da Universidade Federal de São Paulo, no tratamento de câncer oftalmológico. Esse radioisótopo emite radiação que inibe o crescimento das células tumorais. O produto de decaimento radiativo do rutênio-106 é o ródio-106 (^{106}Rh).

(<http://www.scielo.br/pdf/rb/v40n2/08.pdf>. Adaptado)

A partícula emitida no decaimento do rutênio-106 é

A opção correta é letra: a

Questão 40 - Química

Os automóveis são os principais poluidores dos centros urbanos. Para diminuir a poluição, a legislação obriga o uso de catalisadores automotivos. Eles viabilizam reações que transformam os gases de escapamento dos motores, óxidos de nitrogênio e monóxido de carbono, em substâncias bem menos poluentes.

Os catalisadores _____ a energia de ativação da reação no sentido da formação dos produtos, _____ a energia de ativação da reação no sentido dos reagentes e _____ no equilíbrio reacional.

No texto, as lacunas são preenchidas, correta e respectivamente, por:

A opção correta é letra: b

Resolução:


Completando corretamente o texto temos que:

Os catalisadores **diminuem** a energia de ativação da reação no sentido da formação dos produtos, **diminuem** a energia de ativação da reação no sentido dos reagentes e **não interferem** no equilíbrio reacional.

Resposta: B

Questão 41 - Química

Estudos ambientais revelaram que o ferro é um dos metais presentes em maior quantidade na atmosfera, apresentando-se na forma do íon de ferro 3+ hidratado, $[\text{Fe}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$. O íon de ferro na atmosfera se hidrolisa de acordo com a equação



(Química Nova, vol. 25, n.º 2, 2002. Adaptado)

Um experimento em laboratório envolvendo a hidrólise de íons de ferro em condições atmosféricas foi realizado em um reator de capacidade de 1,0 L. Foi adicionado inicialmente 1,0 mol de $[\text{Fe}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$ e, após a reação atingir o equilíbrio, havia sido formado 0,05 mol de íons H^+ . A constante de equilíbrio dessa reação nas condições do experimento tem valor aproximado igual a

A opção correta é letra: b

Resolução:




1 mol/L= 1M	0	0	início
gasta: 0,05 M	forma: 0,05M	forma: 0,05M	reação
0,95 M	0,05M	0,05M	equilíbrio

$$K_c = (0,05) \cdot (0,05) / 0,95 = 0,0026 \approx 2,5 \cdot 10^{-3}$$

Resposta: B

Questão 42 - Química

Fontes alternativas de energia têm sido foco de interesse global como a solução viável para crescentes problemas do uso de combustíveis fósseis. Um exemplo é a célula a combustível microbiológica que emprega como combustível a urina. Em seu interior, compostos contidos na urina, como ureia e resíduos de proteínas, são transformados por micro-organismos que constituem um biofilme no anodo de uma célula eletroquímica que produz corrente elétrica.



(<http://www.rsc.org/chemistryworld/News/2011/October/31101103.asp>. Adaptado)

Sobre essa célula eletroquímica, é correto afirmar que, quando ela entra em operação com a geração de energia elétrica, o biofilme promove a

A opção correta é letra: a

Questão 43 - Química

As fosfinas, PH_3 , são precursoras de compostos empregados na indústria petroquímica, de mineração e hidrometalurgia. Sua obtenção é feita a partir do fósforo elementar, em meio ácido, sob elevada pressão, e a reação se processa de acordo com




A soma dos menores valores inteiros dos coeficientes estequiométricos dessa equação corretamente balanceada é igual a

A opção correta é letra: d

Questão 44 - Química

O surto da doença ebola já atinge vários países da África, causando centenas de mortes. O Japão pode oferecer uma medicação, o favipiravir. Entretanto, ela ainda não recebeu aprovação para uso.

(<http://info.abril.com.br/noticias/ciencia/2014/08/japao-pode-oferecermedicamento-sem-aprovacao-para-ebola.shtml>. Adaptado)



Na molécula do favipiravir, o número total de átomos de carbono que fazem ligações do tipo π e o grupo funcional destacado na estrutura são, respectivamente

A opção correta é letra: e

Questão 45 - Química

O texto seguinte refere-se a um documento do Departamento Nacional de Produção Mineral e descreve a utilidade de um combustível fóssil:

A utilidade do **combustível X** pode ser vinculada às suas propriedades, como o alto poder calorífico por unidade de massa, já que o calor resultante da sua queima aquece caldeiras, que geram vapor, que movimentam turbinas, que geram energia elétrica. O gás produzido por esse combustível pode resultar em fertilizantes, amônia, combustíveis líquidos, lubrificantes, combustível para aviação e isqueiros, metanol, etc.


(https://sistemas.dnpm.gov.br/publicacao/mostra_imagem.asp?IDBancoArquivoArquivo=3970. Adaptado)

O combustível X descrito no texto é

A opção correta é letra: d

Questão 46 - Língua Portuguesa

Leia os quadrinhos.



(Velati. *Folha de S.Paulo*, 24.07.2014.)

Nos quadrinhos, a sequência *macroeconomia-microeconomia-nanoeconomia* é empregada para

A opção correta é letra: c

Resolução:

A sequência “*macroeconomia*”, “*microeconomia*” e “*nanoeconomia*”, em cada um dos quadrinhos, mostra que a queda do PIB se manifesta em diversos segmentos da economia, atingindo grupos sociais variados.

Resposta: C

Questão 47 - Língua Portuguesa

Nuvens contêm uma quantidade impressionante de água. Mesmo as pequenas podem reter um volume de 750 km³ de água e, se calcularmos meio grama de água por metro cúbico, essas minúsculas gotas flutuantes podem formar verdadeiros lagos voadores.

Imagine a situação de um agricultor que observa, planando sobre os campos ressecados, nuvens contendo água mais que suficiente para salvar sua lavoura e deixar um bom saldo, mas que, em vez disso, produzem apenas algumas gotas antes de desaparecer no horizonte. É essa situação desesperadora que leva o mundo todo a gastar milhões de dólares todos os anos tentando controlar a chuva.

Nos Estados Unidos, a tendência de extrair mais umidade do ar vem aumentando em mais um ano de secas severas. Em boa parte das planícies centrais e do sudoeste do país, os níveis de chuva, desde 2010, têm diminuído entre um e dois terços, com impacto direto nos preços do milho, trigo e soja. A Califórnia, fonte de boa parte das frutas e legumes que abastecem o país, ainda deve recuperar-se de uma seca que deixou seus reservatórios com metade da capacidade e áreas sem gelo perigosamente reduzidas. Em fevereiro, o Serviço Nacional do Clima divulgou que o estado tem uma chance em mil de se recuperar logo. Produtores de amêndoas estão preocupados com suas plantações por falta de umidade, e até a água potável está ameaçada.

(*Scientific American Brasil*, julho de 2014. Adaptado)

Em conformidade com a norma-padrão da língua portuguesa e sem alterar os sentidos do texto, a frase final pode ser reescrita da seguinte forma:

A opção correta é letra: b

Resolução:

A expressão “*por falta de umidade*” estabelece umnexo causal com a preocupação dos “*produtores de amêndoas*”. A esse quadro, soma-se a possibilidade de falta de “*água potável*”. Essas relações de sentido, sem deslizes de norma e sem rupturas sintáticas, só se mantêm na alternativa B.

Resposta: B

Questão 48 - Língua Portuguesa

Nuvens contêm uma quantidade impressionante de água. Mesmo as pequenas podem reter um volume de 750 km³ de água e, se calcularmos meio grama de água por metro cúbico, essas minúsculas gotas flutuantes podem formar verdadeiros lagos voadores.

Imagine a situação de um agricultor que observa, planando sobre os campos ressecados, nuvens contendo água mais que suficiente para salvar sua lavoura e deixar um bom saldo, mas que, em vez disso, produzem apenas algumas gotas antes de desaparecer no horizonte. É essa situação desesperadora que leva o mundo todo a gastar milhões de dólares todos os anos tentando controlar a chuva.

Nos Estados Unidos, a tendência de extrair mais umidade do ar vem aumentando em mais um ano de secas severas. Em boa parte das planícies centrais e do sudoeste do país, os níveis de chuva, desde 2010, têm diminuído entre um e dois terços, com impacto direto nos preços do milho, trigo e soja. A Califórnia, fonte de boa parte das frutas e legumes que abastecem o país, ainda deve recuperar-se de uma seca que deixou seus reservatórios com metade da capacidade e áreas sem gelo perigosamente reduzidas. Em fevereiro, o Serviço Nacional do Clima divulgou que o estado tem uma chance em mil de se recuperar logo. Produtores de amêndoas estão preocupados com suas plantações por falta de umidade, e até a água potável está ameaçada.

(*Scientific American Brasil*, julho de 2014. Adaptado)

No texto, são exemplos de palavras formadas por derivação prefixal e por derivação sufixal, respectivamente:

A opção correta é letra: d

Resolução:

O verbo “desaparecer” é formado pelo acréscimo do prefixo *des-* à base *aparecer*. Trata-se de um caso de prefixação. Já a palavra “reservatórios” contém o sufixo *-ório*, formador de adjetivos, normalmente a partir de formas verbais.

Resposta: D

Questão 49 - Língua Portuguesa

Nuvens contêm uma quantidade impressionante de água. Mesmo as pequenas podem reter um volume de 750 km³ de água e, se calcularmos meio grama de água por metro cúbico, essas minúsculas gotas flutuantes podem formar verdadeiros lagos voadores.

Imagine a situação de um agricultor que observa, planando sobre os campos ressecados, nuvens contendo água mais que suficiente para salvar sua lavoura e deixar um bom saldo, mas que, em vez disso, produzem apenas algumas gotas antes de desaparecer no horizonte. É essa situação desesperadora que leva o mundo todo a gastar milhões de dólares todos os anos tentando controlar a chuva.

Nos Estados Unidos, a tendência de extrair mais umidade do ar vem aumentando em mais um ano de secas severas. Em boa parte das planícies centrais e do sudoeste do país, os níveis de chuva, desde 2010, têm diminuído entre um e dois terços, com impacto direto nos preços do milho, trigo e soja. A Califórnia, fonte de boa parte das frutas e legumes que abastecem o país, ainda deve recuperar-se de uma seca que deixou seus reservatórios com metade da capacidade e áreas sem gelo perigosamente reduzidas. Em fevereiro, o Serviço Nacional do Clima divulgou que o estado tem uma chance em mil de se recuperar logo. Produtores de amêndoas estão preocupados com suas plantações por falta de umidade, e até a água potável está ameaçada.

(*Scientific American Brasil*, julho de 2014. Adaptado)

Observe os enunciados:

- ... **planando** sobre os campos ressecados... (2.º parágrafo)
- ... tentando **controlar** a chuva. (2.º parágrafo)
- ... em mais um ano de secas **severas**. (3.º parágrafo)

No contexto em que estão empregados, os termos em destaque significam, respectivamente:

A opção correta é letra: e

Resolução:

No trecho destacado, aparecem as palavras “*planando*”, “*controlar*” e “*severas*”: “*Imagine a situação de um agricultor que observa, planando sobre os campos ressecados, nuvens contendo água mais que suficiente para salvar sua lavoura e deixar um bom saldo, mas que, em vez disso, produzem apenas algumas gotas antes de desaparecer no horizonte. É essa situação desesperadora que leva o mundo todo a gastar milhões de dólares todos os anos tentando controlar a chuva. Nos Estados Unidos, a tendência de extrair mais umidade do ar vem aumentando em mais um ano de secas severas*”. Trata-se de uma questão que envolve os conceitos de sinonímia e sentido contextual. No contexto, pois, as palavras assumem os respectivos sentidos: “*pairando*”, “*dominar*” e “*rigorosas*”.

Resposta: E

Questão 50 - Língua Portuguesa

Nuvens contêm uma quantidade impressionante de água. Mesmo as pequenas podem reter um volume de 750 km^3 de água e, se calcularmos meio grama de água por metro cúbico, essas minúsculas gotas flutuantes podem formar verdadeiros lagos voadores.

Imagine a situação de um agricultor que observa, planando sobre os campos ressecados, nuvens contendo água mais que suficiente para salvar sua lavoura e deixar um bom saldo, mas que, em vez disso, produzem apenas algumas gotas antes de desaparecer no horizonte. É essa situação desesperadora que leva o mundo todo a gastar milhões de dólares todos os anos tentando controlar a chuva.

Nos Estados Unidos, a tendência de extrair mais umidade do ar vem aumentando em mais um ano de secas severas. Em boa parte das planícies centrais e do sudoeste do país, os níveis de chuva, desde 2010, têm diminuído entre um e dois terços, com impacto direto nos preços do milho, trigo e soja. A Califórnia, fonte de boa parte das frutas e legumes que abastecem o país, ainda deve recuperar-se de uma seca que deixou seus reservatórios com metade da capacidade e áreas sem gelo perigosamente reduzidas. Em fevereiro, o Serviço Nacional do Clima divulgou que o estado tem uma chance em mil de se recuperar logo. Produtores de amêndoas estão preocupados com suas plantações por falta de umidade, e até a água potável está ameaçada.

(Scientific American Brasil, julho de 2014. Adaptado)

Assinale a alternativa em que o período, reescrito com base nas informações do texto, está correto quanto ao emprego da vírgula.

A opção correta é letra: d

Resolução:

No período “*As nuvens pequenas, que podem reter um volume de 750 km^3 de água, são capazes de formar verdadeiros lagos voadores*”, a oração entre vírgulas é subordinada adjetiva explicativa (não teria vírgulas se fosse restritiva).

Resposta: D

Questão 51 - Língua Portuguesa

1,7% dos municípios brasileiros, e apenas isso, _____ monitorado a qualidade do ar. _____ no país 252 estações que efetuam esse acompanhamento ambiental – nos EUA são cinco mil estações. Os dados _____ estudo exclusivo do Instituto Saúde e Sustentabilidade.

(IstoÉ, 16.07.2014. Adaptado)

Em conformidade com a norma-padrão da língua portuguesa, as lacunas do texto devem ser preenchidas, respectivamente, com:

A opção correta é letra: d

Resolução:

O sujeito de “*têm*” é “*1,7% dos municípios*”: a concordância foi feita com o adjunto adnominal “*dos municípios*”, que está no plural. A forma verbal “*há*” está na terceira pessoa do singular porque o verbo “*haver*”, com sentido de existir, é impessoal. A forma verbal “*compõem*” está no plural porque o sujeito é plural: “*os dados*”.

Resposta: D

Questão 52 - Língua Portuguesa

Redundâncias

Ter medo da morte
é coisa dos vivos
o morto está livre
de tudo o que é vida

Ter apego ao mundo
é coisa dos vivos
para o morto não há
(não houve)
raios rios risos

E ninguém vive a morte
quer morto quer vivo
mera noção que existe
só enquanto existo

(Ferreira Gullar, *Muitas vozes*)

Dentre outros fatores, o ritmo do poema é garantido com o emprego recorrente de palavras

A opção correta é letra: c

Resolução:

Nota-se no poema grande número de palavras dissílabas e paroxítonas. A maior parte dos versos, inclusive, termina com palavras desse tipo, respectivamente “morte”, “vivos”, “livre”, “vida”, “mundo”, “vivos”, “houve”, “risos”, “morte”, “vivo”, “existe” e “existo”. A relação de oposição de sentido é evidente nas antíteses formadas pelos vários termos que se referem à oposição entre vida e morte.

Resposta: C

Questão 53 - Língua Portuguesa

Redundâncias

Ter medo da morte
é coisa dos vivos
o morto está livre
de tudo o que é vida

Ter apego ao mundo
é coisa dos vivos
para o morto não há
(não houve)
raios rios risos

E ninguém vive a morte
quer morto quer vivo
mera noção que existe
só enquanto existo

(Ferreira Gullar, *Muitas vozes*)

Em relação à oração – *é coisa dos vivos* –, os enunciados – *Ter medo da morte* – (1.^a estrofe) e – *Ter apego ao mundo* – (2.^a estrofe) exercem a função sintática de

A opção correta é letra: a

Resolução:

Os dois enunciados são orações subordinadas substantivas reduzidas de infinitivo. Ambos assumem o papel de sujeito do verbo **ser** contido no verso subsequente.

Resposta: A

Questão 54 - Língua Portuguesa

Redundâncias

Ter medo da morte
é coisa dos vivos
o morto está livre
de tudo o que é vida

Ter apego ao mundo
é coisa dos vivos
para o morto não há
(não houve)
raios rios risos

E ninguém vive a morte
quer morto quer vivo
mera noção que existe
só enquanto existo

(Ferreira Gullar, *Muitas vozes*)

Determinado pronome demonstrativo, “quando, no singular masculino, equivale a isto, isso, aquilo...”.

(Celso Cunha e Lindley Cintra, *Nova Gramática do Português Contemporâneo*)

A definição está corretamente exemplificada com o verso:

A opção correta é letra: b

Resolução:

No dístico “o morto está livre/de tudo o que é vida” o pronome “o”, que está em sua forma singular e masculina, é um demonstrativo que equivale semanticamente a “isto”, “isso” ou “aquilo”, tal como consta do enunciado da questão. Ou seja, o verso pode ser reescrito substituindo-se o demonstrativo “o” por qualquer dessas formas: o morto está livre/de tudo isto/isso/aquilo que é vida.

Resposta: B

Questão 55 - Língua Portuguesa

O trabalho humano se apresentou sob diferentes formas no decorrer da história da humanidade. Ao longo dos anos, os trabalhadores foram submetidos a condições subumanas, sem proteção alguma, fato este que exigiu atitude por parte do Estado _____ de tutelar a classe proletária. O ápice para _____ melhoras foi com o advento da Revolução Industrial. A partir de então, diversos países _____ inúmeros direitos à classe trabalhadora, e elevou-se o Princípio da Dignidade da Pessoa Humana como fundamento do ordenamento jurídico pátrio.

(www.ambito-juridico.com.br. Adaptado)

Em conformidade com a norma-padrão da língua portuguesa, as lacunas do texto devem ser preenchidas, respectivamente, com:

A opção correta é letra: b

Resolução:

Para preencher as duas primeiras lacunas, as grafias corretas da locução adverbial final e do verbo em questão são, respectivamente, “a fim de” e “reivindicar”. Já a terceira lacuna não se refere exatamente a um caso de norma, pois exige-se uma análise do texto para identificar que o verbo cabível é “ratificar” (“confirmar”, “validar”), não seu parônimo “retificar” (“corrigir”, “emendar”).

Resposta: B

Questão 56 - Língua Portuguesa

Pela tarde apareceu o Capitão Vitorino. Vinha numa burra velha, de chapéu de palha muito alvo, com a fita verde- -amarela na lapela do paletó. O mestre José Amaro estava sentado na tenda, sem trabalhar. E quando viu o compadre alegrou-se. Agora as visitas de Vitorino faziam-lhe bem. Desde aquele dia em que vira o compadre sair com a filha para o Recife, fazendo tudo com tão boa vontade, que Vitorino não lhe era mais o homem infeliz, o pobre bobo, o sem-vergonha, o vagabundo que tanto lhe desagradava. Vitorino apeou-se para falar do ataque ao Pilar. Não era amigo de Quinca Napoleão, achava que aquele bicho vivia de roubar o povo, mas não aprovava o que o capitão fizera com a D. Inês.

– Meu compadre, uma mulher como a D. Inês é para ser respeitada.

– E o capitão desrespeitou a velha, compadre?

– Eu não estava lá. Mas me disseram que botou o rifle em cima dela, para fazer medo, para ver se D. Inês lhe dava a chave do cofre. Ela não deu. José Medeiros, que é homem, borrou-se todo quando lhe entrou um cangaceiro no estabelecimento. Me disseram que o safado chorava como bezerro desmamado. Este cachorro anda agora com o fogo da força da polícia fazendo o diabo com o povo.

(José Lins do Rego, *Fogo Morto*)

A passagem do quarto parágrafo – *Eu não estava lá. Mas me disseram que botou o rifle em cima dela, para fazer medo, para ver se D. Inês lhe dava a chave do cofre.* – é caracterizada por discurso

A opção correta é letra: d

Resolução:

O enunciado em análise é atribuído ao Capitão Vitorino e, conforme indica o uso do travessão, trata-se de uma reprodução literal de sua fala, ou seja, de um caso de discurso direto. Nessa mesma fala, como se nota pelo uso da forma verbal “disseram”, também ocorre uma citação. Nesse caso, porém, o Capitão Vitorino se vale de uma oração subordinada substantiva (“*que botou o rifle em cima dela, para fazer medo, para ver se D. Inês lhe dava a chave do cofre*”) para, com suas palavras, citar literalmente o que lhe haviam dito. Trata-se, portanto, de um trecho em discurso indireto em que o personagem relata o que ouviu de outrem.

Resposta: D

Questão 57 - Língua Portuguesa

A colocação do pronome está adequada à situação comunicativa da narrativa literária, mas está em desacordo com a norma-padrão, na seguinte passagem do texto:

A opção correta é letra: e

Resolução:

Em “*Me disseram (...)*”, inicia-se a frase por um pronome pessoal oblíquo átono, uso não referendado pelas gramáticas normativas como integrante da variedade padrão do Português.

RESPOSTA: E

Questão 58 - Língua Portuguesa

Sem que haja alteração de sentido do texto, assinale a alternativa correta quanto à regência verbal.

A opção correta é letra: c

Resolução:

- A) O verbo **chegar** rege preposição **a** antes de adjunto adverbial de lugar: *chegou a (ou à) sua casa*. **Cumprimentar**, verbo principal da locução **foi cumprimentar**, rege objeto direto. Em norma padrão, é o pronome oblíquo **o** que desempenha essa função sintática, não **lhe**.
- B) O verbo **lembrar**, quando flexionado com pronome, rege objeto indireto introduzido pela preposição **de** (*lembrou-se de que tinha desfeito a imagem*); quando não pronominal, rege objeto direto (*lembrou que tinha desfeito a imagem*).
- C) A expressão **vir de encontro a** significa *estar em trajetória de colisão com, estar em desacordo com*; já **vir ao encontro de**, *estar em procura de, atender, dar solução*. Segundo o contexto, a forma solícita como Vitorino tratou a filha **contrapunha-se** à imagem dele como pobre bobo.
- D) O verbo **simpatizar** não é pronominal e rege a preposição **com**: *Vitorino não simpatizava com Quincas Napoleão*.
- E) O verbo **pensar**, quando completado por uma oração subordinada substantiva, rege uma oração objetiva direta, sem preposição: *pensava que ele vivia de roubar o povo*.

Resposta: C

Questão 59 - Língua Portuguesa

Capitão Vitorino apareceu na casa do mestre José Amaro para falar-lhe do ataque _____ cidade do Pilar. Disse ao compadre que D. Inês ficou cara _____ cara com Quinca Napoleão, que queria saquear-lhe o cofre, mas ela não deu a chave _____ ele. O homem era uma ameaça _____ população.

As lacunas do trecho devem ser preenchidas, correta e respectivamente, com:

A opção correta é letra: a

Resolução:

Os casos em que a crase foi posta em questão no enunciado proposto pela Banca estão a seguir comentados:

- o substantivo **ataque** rege preposição **a**; o substantivo feminino **cidade** aceita a anteposição de artigo feminino **a**: *ataque à cidade*;
- em expressões formadas por palavras repetidas não acontece o fenômeno da crase: *D. Inês ficou cara a cara com Quinca Napoleão*;
- o objeto indireto do verbo **dar** é introduzido pela preposição **a**; mas o pronome pessoal do caso reto **ele** não aceita anteposição de artigo feminino: *não deu a chave a ele*;
- o substantivo **ameaça** rege complemento introduzido pela preposição **a**; o substantivo **população** aceita a anteposição de artigo feminino **a**: *O homem era uma ameaça à população*.

Resposta: A

Questão 60 - Língua Portuguesa

Considerando-se a grafia e a acentuação das palavras, assinale a alternativa na qual o enunciado, extraído e adaptado do editorial Trânsito parado (*Folha de S.Paulo*, 21.08.2014), está em conformidade com a norma-padrão da língua portuguesa.

A opção correta é letra: e

Resolução:

A grafia e acentuação dos termos das alternativas estão corrigidos/comentados a seguir:

A) *veículos*, *deterioração*.

B) *viárias*, *ritmo* (grafia correta), *fluides*.

C) *público*, *exceção*.

D) *imperioso*, *induzir*, *veem* (segundo a nova ortografia, sem acento), *a ponto* (grafado corretamente, sem acento indicador da crase).

E) *há anos* (verbo **haver** para indicação de tempo passado), *rodízio*, *redução*, *prol*, *exclusivos* (corretamente grafados).

Resposta: E

